Building Program Management Committee Meeting
MEETING SUMMARY
Thursday, February 17, 2011
3:00 p.m., HLRC, 4th Floor

Present: Aracely Aguiar, Karen Burzynski, Rose Marie Joyce, Joann Haywood (for S. Jeter-Williams), Jeffrey Lee, Fran Leonard (for A. Foster), Ahmed Mohsin, John Oester, Betsy Regalado, Olga Shewfelt, Robert Sprague, Alice Taylor, Jane Witucki

Resources: Steven Jacobson, Phil Vogt, James Walker

Guests: Steve Aggers, Celena Alcala, Judy Chow, Larry Eisenberg, Coby King, Michelle Long-Coffee, Martin Nee, Lloyd Silberstein, Rebecca Tillberg

1. WELCOME: J. Oester called the meeting to order at 3:11 PM.

   Motions to approve meeting notes:
   - J. Witucki/A. Aguiar: to approve the notes from January 27, 2011, meeting. (PASSED)
   - A. Mohsin/A. Taylor: to approve the notes from February 3, 2011, meeting. (PASSED)

2. DISTRICT PR RELATED TO BOND ISSUES

   Coby King from MWW talked about his involvement as public relation capacity for LACCD for the bond programs. He is LACCD’s liaison with the LA Times that is working on articles about the LACCD bond projects. He shared the progress on the LA Times story. Once there is a confirmation when the story will be published, he will notify the appropriate people and will be working with District officials on the response.

3. BUILD-LACCD AND DISTRICT’S RESPONSE TO WEST BOND BUDGET ISSUES

   L. Eisenberg and L. Silberstein gave a background, from their perspective, on West’s bond project including the foreseen and unforeseen situations that had occurred that contributed to the budget over run.

   BuildLACCD (Build) and District have established a process at which they are working closely with the CPM on a regular basis. They also regularly do site visits to the college. The process now has become more efficient and more cost effective.

   L. Eisenberg and L. Silberstein reported that they had discussed with M. Rocha in April 2010 the over commitment of funds. Despite their pointing out the over commitment, M. Rocha was confident that the money would be available.
L. Silberstein referred to a letter from Chancellor LaVista that was sent to the community describing the status of the LACCD bond projects. He will forward the letter to Dr. Joyce for all committee members.

L. Eisenberg praised the LACCD’s transformation as a result of the bond monies. He admitted that early on in the projects, there were things that did not go according to plan; but since then the District has learned from the experience and made the appropriate changes.

There is an assumption that money is wasted if a building project could not move forward. L. Eisenberg explained the process—exploration of function, space and design—that are part of the conceptualization of the project. The process is not a waste of money because a modified version derived from the original work can be explored and implemented.

Another misconception is the waste of money from exploration of ideas. L. Eisenberg gave an example of District’s work in exploring the energy saving measures. $5M was spent only exploring ideas, but in the end District will save at least $80M over its energy bill.

Students are benefiting from new state-of-the-art facilities comparable to four-year universities. When the whole program is finished, 85 new buildings would have been built across the District colleges; and among these buildings, 20 are LEED Platinum buildings. The District has gone through multiple audits every year and the outcome is always clean. Overall, the District is doing very well in managing the bond projects and monies.

4. **DISCUSSION OF BPMC MEETING TIME**

A proposal to permanently switch the BPMC and College Council meeting time was presented. If it is approved, BPMC will meet at 1 PM, and the College Council will meet at 3 PM on every first Monday of the month. F. Leonard would like to discuss it with members of College Council before a decision is made. Item is tabled to the next meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:46 PM.