Facilities Committee
MINUTES
Monday, March 11, 2013
Student Services Building, Room 414 │ 3:15 PM

Present:
1. Ken Takeda, VP, Admin. Services, Co-chair
2. Kevin Considine, Faculty Co-chair, AFT rep
3. Nabil Abu-Ghazaleh, President
4. Phyllis Braxton, VP, Student Services (Interim)
5. Karen Burzynski (alternate), AFT Staff Guild
6. Allan Hansen, Manager, Plant Facilities
7. Sheila Jeter-Williams, AFT Staff Guild
8. Olga Shewfelt, Chair, AFT Faculty Guild
9. Robert Sprague, VP, Academic Affairs
10. Jane Witucki, Academic Senate rep

Absent:
1. Aracely Aguiar, Dean, Academic Affairs/Teamsters rep
2. Gabriel Brown, President, ASO
3. Adrienne Foster, President, Academic Senate
4. Jeffrey Lee, Academic Senate rep
5. Jack Ruebensaal, AFT Faculty Guild/ WEC Chair
6. Abel Rodriguez, SEIU rep/Plant Facilities

Resources: Peter Mitsakos, West Edge Architect
Douglas Newby, West Edge Architect
Steve Sharr, CPM, Cumming/GKKWorks

1. Call to Order/Approval of Agenda: Kevin Considine called the meeting to order at 3:21 PM. The agenda was approved as presented.

2. Review minutes from February 25th Meeting
M/S/P: The minutes were approved as presented.

3. Further consideration of the Space Study (P. Mitsakos, D. Newby)
Peter Mitsakos opened by reviewing where we stand in the overall process. The study of growth potential for the academic programs has been approved. The next step is to study the student services and administrative services divisions. The support services study is still in progress and being reviewed with a target to be presented to the Committee at the next meeting.

Three scenarios were presented – scenarios C, D and E (Note: scenarios A and B were developed but didn’t make the cut for consideration). They are strategy to approach accommodating the needs of the college and the approved academic programs growth.

The president reminded the committee members that they are not requested to vote on any of the plans at this time. He encouraged members to give their feedback and ideas to help improve the general design.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>SCENARIO C</strong></th>
<th><strong>SCENARIO D</strong></th>
<th><strong>SCENARIO E</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• TLC will be built as designed.</td>
<td>• Build the shell of TLC and reprogram the floor plan.</td>
<td>• Not build TLC and include/exclude 10100 Jefferson property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 9 new buildings (186,667 net sq. ft.)</td>
<td>• 5 new buildings: 135,967 gross sq. ft. (27% &lt; baseline).</td>
<td>• 7 new buildings: 143,250 gross sq. ft. (new buildings 3a, 3b, 3c are not included in cost projections and to be included as part public/private partnership).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 9 renovation projects (91,401 net sq. ft.): AT-A</td>
<td>• 10 renovations: 134,377 net sq. ft. (47% greater than baseline): AT-A</td>
<td>• Renovation: 85,882 net sq. ft. (6% &lt; baseline).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>MSA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Scenario C is based on the 2011 shared governance approved plan. It also becomes the baseline for comparisons with the other two scenarios.*

Discussion:
- The cost for each scenario has not yet been determined.
- The current available money will only allow a partial build of any of the scenarios. However, other factors such as functionality and location on campus should also be considered in selecting and refining a particular plan.
- Selecting a specific plan that allows growth for the college up to year 2036 will give the college a vision to accomplish. The use of the current available money should be maximized. New funding opportunity may arise in the future to continue the remaining building program.
- Strengths and concerns of each scenario were recorded.
- Rough cost estimate information was requested to be presented at the next meeting.

Tasks for the next meeting of March 18, 2013:
- Vicky to send out all scenario documents and feedback (strengths and concerns) electronically to all members to review.
- It was suggested that Peter creates a survey consisting a list of parameters to be rated by Facilities Committee. Once the survey is sent out, members are requested to complete it and send it to Peter no later than noon on Thursday, March 14.
- Members to review the provided documents and give feedback at the next meeting.
- Peter and Steve will prepare the rough cost estimate for the scenarios.

4. **Items from the floor**

None.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:45 PM.

Next meetings: **March 18, 2013, at 3:15 PM, Student Services Building, Room 414**