Resource Development Committee
Draft Record of Meeting
Thursday, September 22, 2011
Winlock Lounge – 12:30 pm

Attending: Ara Aguiar, Bruce Anders, Diana Baxter, Kathy Boutry, Helen Lin, Larry Packham, Mark Pracher, Betsy Regalado, Jack Ruebensaal, Olga Shewfelt, Barry Sloan, Bob Sprague, Beraki Woldehaimanot

The meeting was called to order at 12:30 pm by Vice President Bob Sprague of Academic Affairs.

Mark Pracher shared with the committee an update of proposals developed since January 1, 2011; $900,000 worth of proposals were cancelled. This is the document titled Proposals developed since January 1, 2011 and is the third version that Mark has updated. Judith will obtain this document from Mark to post on the Resource Development Committee web page. It will be updated every 4-6 weeks.

- $11,400,000 has been awarded so far. Not all of that has come to West Los Angeles College – there is about $12 million of projects now that are in development and are waiting for responses to proposals that have been submitted.
- A proposal submitted last year with Cal State Dominguez Hills will be awarded because last year it was just below cut-off score for funding. Mark expects that this proposal will come through this year.
- A new PBI grant for LEARN II of PBI 3; has been funded but Mark hasn’t received the official award letter yet.
- POPP Program – courses in Administration of Justice – Mark put together a $46,000 proposal for them to coordinate, promote, and bring students to West.
- Mark is working with the computer science and math divisions for the National Science Foundation (NSF) program to increase number of students who complete an AA degree in a STEM area and transfer into a STEM field or complete a BA degree in a STEM area. The enrollment of 11,000 + students qualifies West for this program.
- A two to three year effort to obtain funding with the NSF for another proposal for STEM majors. Mark has made the changes and resubmitted and is still working on for the third time. He won’t know until December of January of 2012 as this a collaborative process with faculty.

Bruce Anders spoke on the fact that this committee does not have a place on the college governance chart as there is no connection on the grant process. Discussion followed regarding the processing of grants and the fact that some on the list have not been awarded and are still pending, etc.

There was discussion about wider college participation in the grants process to expedite things. Katherine Boutry asked the committee if some kind of form could be designed to expedite grants to be approved by Academic Senate. Is it possible to get a grant first and then vote on it? Olga Shewfelt stated we need to define how the process is, who to contact, and in what form as
Chairs are not a part of shared governance. Faculty is represented both by Senate and AFT Faculty Guild. Another committee member was in agreement about a governance process for this. At what point does this governance process begin? What stage? The committee should look at the positioning and what would be role of governance process.

VP Sprague stated he is willing to meet with Senate reps so they could bring this to the Senate and follow-up on it. There was discussion on how it might operate; if college as a whole wrote a Title 5 five-year grant approximately $500,000 or $600,000 a year. The person who is the lead would take to the division and Senate when division specific.

- Resource Development Committee reports to College Council
- College Council has the power to veto, say NO to a grant
- College Council looks at a recommendation each committee brings to them
- Once grant is given, then how is grant implemented?
- Once money is out there, who gets involved in it?

VP Sprague reported that Helen Lin has all grants data at her desk in Academic Affairs. Judith Fierro will capture Kathy Boutry’s idea about a one-page list of things that would be prepared by the primary person for the proposal. Could be for a major grant for five years where an administrator would fill out the form. If grant is not for a major proposal, then the faculty member could fill out the form to be sent to Resource Development Committee, then to Senate, then to College Council.

formalize. Judith Fierro will set up a meeting for VP Sprague with four members from RDC to come up with a form to be presented to the Senate. Members to invite to this meeting will be Katherine Boutry, Beraki Woldehaimanott, VP Sprague, and Mark Pracher.

Diana Baxter announced that in Admissions & Records Dean Michael Goltermann - creating a center to support Veterans with jobs and career development. This program could have a grant offering 50 classes and those FTES will not be counted as part of the total FTES and will not decrease a grant in Program 100; it enhances a class.

The state apportions the college a specific number of units, around 6754, so anything that we spend above that, college doesn’t get. Anything that comes through grants development is money that is separate from the allocation that comes from the state.

Helen Lin of Academic Affairs reviewed a one page document titled SFP contribution to Program 10100 for year 2010-2011 from Academic Affairs. This reflects total grant contributions to the college.

The meeting adjourned at 2:30 pm.